

## Requests recommended for removal

### Chippenham CATG – 26<sup>th</sup> January 2015

Street / Area Location	Town / Village	Issue No	Scheme Description	Actions & Notes	Score
A350 Pretty Chimneys	Kington Langley	3158	Handrails to be installed on both sides of crossing point	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Request from Chairman of Parish Council to review this issue. Site meeting took place on 23<sup>rd</sup> March attended by <b>MR, DG, HG, MD</b>.</li> <li>• <b>MR</b> advised that nothing can be attached to guard rail, installation must be just inside the existing rail</li> <li>• <b>CATG</b> was reminded that the guard rails that have been installed meet required safety standards without hand rails</li> <li>• No evidence available regarding the number of people crossing the road. The bus company does not keep exact statistics of passengers using the stop but stated that the numbers were “very low”.</li> <li>• <b>MR</b> advised that in addition to the cost of rails, CATG should be aware that lanes on A350 would have to be closed to carry out the works, this will incur significant costs</li> <li>• Parish Council suggest that handrails could be installed when grass cutting is taking place as lane closures are required for this too</li> <li>• <b>MR</b> instructed to explore option to carry out work at the same time as grass cutting.</li> <li>• <b>MR</b> advised that safety auditor has been consulted and has stated:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ A handrail cannot be attached to the barrier, as it would adversely affect integrity of the barrier</li> <li>○ The safety barrier is designed to implode upon impact. The proposed addition of a handrail could endanger drivers and is not advised.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	28

*(cont)*

## Requests recommended for removal

### Chippenham CATG – 26<sup>th</sup> January 2015

Street / Area Location	Town / Village	Issue No	Scheme Description	Actions & Notes	Score
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>MD</b> asked if consideration could be given to painting the stretch of barrier a different colour</li> <li>• <b>MR</b> has consulted with barrier designer and safety auditor. Both were of the opinion that painting a different colour would offer little and may in fact distract drivers. <b>MR</b> asked to provide a cost for next CATG</li> <li>• CATG asked how many people use the crossing and with what frequency. <b>VW</b> to contact Parish Clerk for details.</li> <li>• 9-Dec-14 Parish Council advised: <i>“couldn’t tell you how many people need to cross this road at this point – however the point is that those who do need to cross this road to catch a bus are elderly”</i></li> <li>• Bus companies confirm that very few people use stop</li> <li>• <b>MR</b> estimates approx £2,000 to paint barrier</li> <li>• <b>CATG</b> agreed that the matter has now received careful consideration and recommended that no further action was appropriate at this time.</li> <li>• <b>VW</b> to include this recommendation to Chippenham Area Board 2-Mar-15</li> </ul>	

## Requests recommended for removal

### Chippenham CATG – 26<sup>th</sup> January 2015

Street / Area Location	Town / Village	Issue No	Scheme Description	Actions & Notes	Score
Fenway Park/Cepen park North	Chippenham	3299	Request for pedestrian crossing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>01-May-14 Chippenham Town Council confirm support for this request</li> <li>Division Councillor Nina Phillips has indicated that she wishes CATG to consider this scheme as a bid to the Substantive Scheme 2015/16</li> <li><b>MR</b> advises pedestrian count will be required (Average cost of count is £1,350)</li> <li>CATG agreed to proceed with pedestrian count, conditional upon 25% contribution from Chippenham Town Council.</li> <li>Area Board 10-Nov-14 approved</li> <li>Costs reduce to £400</li> <li>20-Nov-14 Town Council approves £100 towards cost of the pedestrian count but states “<i>will not provide funding for the project which should be funded from a section 106 contribution from the developers of the Middlefield site</i>”</li> <li>MR advised that the results fall well below the criteria required to proceed with a pedestrian crossing</li> <li><b>CATG</b> recommended that no further action was appropriate at this time but if circumstances change it may be appropriate to reconsider at a future date</li> <li><b>VW</b> to include this recommendation to Chippenham Area Board 2-Mar-15</li> </ul>	

## Requests recommended for removal

### Chippenham CATG – 26<sup>th</sup> January 2015

Street / Area Location	Town / Village	Issue No	Scheme Description	Actions & Notes	Score
Pewsham Way	Chippenham	3503	Speeding traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Member of the public completed a Metro Count Request form. This was sent to Road Safety Unit 6-Aug-14</li> <li>Road Safety Unit advises that for safety reasons, Metro Counts are not carried out in areas where the speed limit is over 40mph. The Police do not carry out speed monitoring where the speed limit is over 40mph</li> <li>Speed monitoring can be undertaken in areas over 40mph using Speed Detection Radar (SDR) to capture the necessary data.</li> <li><b>MR</b> will contact the correspondent directly to establish the exact location that is causing concern</li> <li><b>MR</b> liaised with the resident who was most concerned about motorcycles</li> <li>MR confirmed that the combined average speed was 45 mph The limit is 50mph therefore the majority of vehicles are travelling compliant with speed limit</li> <li><b>CATG</b> recommended that no further action was appropriate at this time.</li> <li><b>VW</b> to include this recommendation to Chippenham Area Board 2-Mar-15</li> </ul>	

## Requests recommended for removal

### Chippenham CATG – 26<sup>th</sup> January 2015

Street / Area Location	Town / Village	Issue No	Scheme Description	Actions & Notes	Score
Old Road off A429 at Stanton St Quintin by Murco Garage	Stanton St Quintin	3626	Unsuitable for HGV signage or something more suitable to stop HGVs parking along the road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>MR</b> to arrange a site visit with Parish Chairman to clarify the remaining issues and report back to next CATG</li> <li>• <b>MR</b> advised that the double yellow lines are frequently obscured by mud and the state of carriageway is poor in places</li> <li>• <b>MR</b> has recommended that the <b>Chair of Stanton St Quintin Parish Council</b> write to <b>Allan Creedy (Head of Sustainable Transport)</b> regarding freight management issues</li> <li>• <b>CATG</b> recommended that as this is a strategic matter and has been referred to the appropriate Head of Service no further action from CATG/Area Board is appropriate at this time.</li> <li>• <b>VW</b> to include this recommendation to Chippenham Area Board 2-Mar-15</li> </ul>	
Stanton St Quintin	Stanton St Quintin	3627	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Parish Steward visits to occur when programmed to do so</li> <li>ii. Double yellow lines by the Murco Garage to be reinstated as soon as possible to prevent illegal parking.</li> <li>iii. Litter bins to be erected in the village as promised</li> </ol>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Double Yellow Lines – see issue 3626</li> <li>• Community Coordinator confirmed he is working with the parish to address issues with Parish Steward visits and litter bins</li> <li>• <b>CATG</b> recommended that no further action was appropriate at this time.</li> <li>• <b>VW</b> to include this recommendation to Chippenham Area Board 2-Mar-15</li> </ul>	

## Requests recommended for removal

### Chippenham CATG – 26<sup>th</sup> January 2015

Street / Area Location	Town / Village	Issue No	Scheme Description	Actions & Notes	Score
Queens Crescent	Chippenham	3752	Crossing for children to use “Safe Places to cross” is unsafe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The new crossings near Queens Crescent School were installed as part of the Taking Action on Journeys to School initiative.</li> <li>The matter has been referred to Ruth Durrant School Travel Advisor who dealt with the installation</li> </ul>	
The Street junction with Frog Lane	Hullavington	3767	Relevant road markings to the left (as far as Gibbs Lane) and right (as far as the end of the garage forecourt) of Frog Lane junction with The Street and on the opposite side of the road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NPT has taken action to address inconsiderate parking including bollards placed in the area and notices left on windscreen of cars parked inappropriately</li> <li><b>RD</b> confirmed that the “Give Way” lines are due to be refreshed in the next few weeks.</li> <li>CATG agreed that no further action is required at this time</li> <li><b>VW</b> to include this recommendation to Chippenham Area Board 2-Mar-15</li> </ul>	

## Requests recommended for removal

### Chippenham CATG – 26<sup>th</sup> January 2015

Street / Area Location	Town / Village	Issue No	Scheme Description	Actions & Notes	Score
Station Hill	Chippenham	3779	Station Hill is upgraded to allow free flow of two-way traffic.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Referred to Chippenham Town Council for comment 23-Jan-15</li> <li>• <b>MR</b> has recommended that the <b>Chair of Stanton St Quintin Parish Council</b> write to <b>Allan Creedy (Head of Sustainable Transport)</b> regarding freight management issues</li> <li>• <b>CATG</b> recommended that as this is a strategic matter and has been referred to the appropriate Head of Service no further action from CATG/Area Board is appropriate at this time.</li> <li>• <b>VW</b> to include this recommendation to Chippenham Area Board 2-Mar-15</li> </ul>	